**Nabu-belu-kaʾʾin in dossiers 15.02.01 and 15.04.01**

15.02.01 has 23 total letters

Higher usage of NA/t vs. NA (20:59 = 25.3% cf. 14.7% overall)

Regular usage of MEŠ/m vs. MEŠ (16:34 = 32% cf. 27.5% overall)

Higher usage of ia₂ vs. ia (31:21 = 40.4% cf. 19.7% overall)

Higher usage of ša₂ vs. ša (22:68 = 24.4% cf. 13.4% overall)

Higher usage of lu-u vs. lu (10:9 = 52.6% cf. 26.9% overall)

15.04.01 has 7 total letters

0 usage of NA/t (26 of NA)

0 usage of MEŠ/m (15 of MEŠ)

Higher usage of ia₂ vs. ia (7:6 = 53.8% cf. 19.7% overall)

1 usage of ša₂ (44 of ša)

Too few instances of lū to say much

There are 3 features which mismatch between these two dossiers (NA, MEŠ, ša). Either these features are good features and we cannot claim that these two dossiers were composed by the same scribe and thus the scribe did not travel with Nabu-belu-kaʾʾin but he gained a new scribe in his new location, or these features are not good features to cluster letters

**Shamash-belu-usur in dossiers 05.11.01 and 15.05.01**

05.11.01 has 10 total letters

0 usage of MEŠ/m

otherwise every feature seems to correspond to typical usage

15.05.01 has 18 total letters

Regular usage of MEŠ/m vs. MEŠ (14:30 = 31.8% cf. 27.5% overall)

Higher usage of šu vs. šu₂ (39:30 = 56.5% cf. 30.2%)

Higher usage of be-li₂-ia vs. EN-ia (16:4 = 80% cf. 28.6% overall)

Higher usage of lu-u vs. lu (8:7 = 53.3% cf. 26.9% overall)

There are 4 mismatching features (MEŠ, šu, bēliya, lū). See previous for conclusions.

**Calah under two governors, Marduk-remanni and Ashur-bani, in dossiers 01.05.01 and 01.05.02**

01.05.01 has 1 letter

01.05.02 has 13 letters

Skip for now

**Der under Il-yadaʾ (15.06.01) and Šamaš-belu-uṣur (15.05.01)**

15.06.01 has 22 letters

Regular/Lower usage of šu vs. šu₂ (16:83 = 16.2% cf. 30.2% overall)

Higher usage of ia₂ vs ia (38:67 = 36.2% cf. 19.7% overall)

Higher usage of be-li₂-ia vs. EN-ia (28:4 = 87.5% cf. 28.6% overall)

Higher usage of lu-u vs. lu (8:10 = 44.4% cf. 26.9% overall)

15.05.01 has 18 letters

Breakdown given already

Regular usage of ia₂ vs. ia (12:37 = 24.5% cf. 19.7% overall)

They match in irregular distributions of 2 features (bēliya, lū) but mismatch in 2 other features (šu and ia)

**Kar-Sharrukin**

15.04.02 has 16 letters

Higher usage of EN vs. be-li₂ (8:12 = 40% vs. 22%)

Regular usage for everything else

Compare to the 15.04.01 dossier for Nabu-belu-kaʾʾin, which also had mostly regular usage of all features except for the syllable /ia/. However there are few instances of that syllable in 15.04.01 and also few instances of bēlī in 15.04.02 to say anything more definitive. They seem to correspond pretty well by this metric.

**Mazamua, different offices**

15.05.02 has 7 letters

Higher usage of ia₂ vs. ia (16:25 = 39% cf. 19.7% overall)

Higher usage of šu vs. šu₂ (17:15 = 53.1% cf. 30.2% overall)

Higher usage of be-li₂-ia vs. EN-ia (11:0 = 100% cf. 28.6% overall)

2 matches among irregulars (šu and bēliya) but 2 mismatches (ia and lū)

15.05.03 has 4 letters

15.05.04 has 2 letters